Overall, a nicely done simulation. It was nice to see a couple parents there but wish more parents could attend (I know it does not always work with schedules). Please find below some of my thoughts and those of your classmates. Unfortunately, many of your peers did not afford you the same respect you afforded them and did not send me their thoughts. So, there is not much to go on, but if you would like more of my thoughts or theirs, ask today while filling out the rubric. Also, understand that everyone views success differently and these reflections represent those different viewpoints. Read through them and look for themes. If two people bring up the same pint but one says it was good and another says it was bad, then you can’t make much out of those comments. However, if everyone is pointing out the same concept in their good or bad, those need to be taken seriously. Please talk with me if you have any concerns.

Good- Everyone was nice and loud

Everyone had good, well thought out arguments

Both sides made good points

Judges asked good questions, often solidifying their opinion

Use of precedent and facts

Bad - Not all judges asked questions for every speaker/ justices sometimes overlapped each other

Ugly- use of the word "like" a bit too much

Good:nice and loud, easy to understand, good arguments and info, good use of anecdotes and precedents quick thinkers

bad: justices a little quiet, not all justices go to speak once every time

ugly: justices interrupting each other, some questions i thought were pointless and didn't prove anything

Good: Speakers answered questions well, justices had some good questions, and everyone dressed nice

Bad: Some stutters during speeches and a lot of ums during questioning, some justices questions were too repetitive

Ugly: Justices cards were all different fonts, not all justices talked for each speaker